Formation and Structure of 1,1-Dialkoxyalkene Radical Cations in Aqueous Solution. An *in situ* Electron Spin Resonance and Pulse Conductivity Study

By Günter Behrens, Eberhard Bothe, Günther Koltzenburg, and Dietrich Schulte-Frohlinde,* Institut für Strahlenchemie im Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung, Stiftstrasse 34–36, D–4330 Mülheim a.d. Ruhr, Federal Republic of Germany

WURSTER'S Blue, now known for 100 years,1 and identified first by Weitz² as a salt of the NNN'N'-tetramethyl-p-phenylenediamine radical cation is among the first examples of aromatic radical cations.³ This species does not react with water because amino groups are present thus reducing the redox potential and decreasing the electrophilicity of the radical cation. Recently it was established that methoxy groups on the benzene ring stabilize radical cations to an extent which allows e.s.r. observation in aqueous solution and pulse radiolytic detection by u.v. and conductivity changes.⁴ These radical cations do not react with water. In contrast to Wurster's Blue they disappear bimolecularly by reaction with each other. Also methyl groups are able to stabilize benzene radical cations to a degree.⁵ With an increasing number of methyl groups a graduated and decreasing reactivity towards H₂O addition (yielding H⁺ and hydroxycyclohexadienyl radicals) and deprotonation (yielding H⁺ and benzyl radicals) was found.

As in the aromatic series ethylene-derived radical cations are rather stable if highly substituted by amino, alkylthio, or aromatic groups.³ A systematic study of their reactivity towards water is lacking since their olefinic precursors are often moisture sensitive. E.s.r.



spectra of such radical cations have been recorded ^{3.6} mainly in CH_3CN or other solvents of low nucleophilicity. Substitution by alkyl substituents is also effective in stabilizing ethylene radical cations; however, only a few examples (in CF_3CO_2H as solvent) are known.⁷

In the present paper it is shown that certain alkene radical cations which carry two geminal alkoxy-groups are sufficiently stable towards water to allow e.s.r. spectroscopic and pulse conductometric observations in aqueous solution. In a preliminary communication ⁸ we briefly reported evidence in aqueous solution on the existence of the 1,1-dimethoxyethylene radical cation (1) which was generated from radical (2) according to reaction (I). Radical (2) was obtained by hydrogen abstraction from 2-chloro-1,1-dimethoxyethane (3), by OH• or SO₄⁻⁻ at position 1.

$$\begin{array}{c} (\mathrm{CH_{3}O})_{2}\mathrm{CH-CH_{2}Cl} \\ (3) \end{array}$$

RESULTS

Extending the above method for generating radical cation (1) to other β -substituted acetals we obtained a series of 1,1-dialkoxyalkene radical cations in aqueous solution. They show differences in structure and conformation which are discussed below.

E.s.r. Spectroscopic Studies.—Radical cations. For hydrogen abstraction from substrates, triplet acetone, OH', or SO_4^{*-} radicals from u.v. irradiation of acetone, H_2O_2 , or $S_2O_8^{2^-}$ were employed. In this work most spectra were obtained by using aqueous solutions of 0.3M-acetone, $10^{-2}M$ - $K_2S_2O_8$, and ca. $5 \times 10^{-2}M$ -substrate. Acetone enhanced the spectral intensity presumably by sensitizing the decomposition of $S_2O_8^{2^-}$ to SO_4^{*-} .

From the substrates (4)—(9) the radical cations (10)—(15) were obtained (see Scheme).

They are best observed in acidic solutions at pH < 5. The e.s.r. parameters of the radical cations are given in Table 1. Notably, the open chain radical cations exhibit two rather different couplings arising from the protons of two different alkoxy groups. Therefore, these species are assumed to be asymmetric. Two isomeric 1-ethoxy-1methoxyethylene radical cations (11a and b), were observed, but only one isomer, (10), of the 1,1-dimethoxyprop-1-ene radical cation was detected. The 2-methylene-1,3-dioxolan radical cation (13), and the 2-methylene-1,3-dioxan radical cation, (15), are symmetrical species. As to the assignment of couplings to specific protons see the Discussion section. The e.s.r. spectra of (10), (11a and b), (14), and (15) are given as examples in Figures 1-3.

Other radicals. Hydrogen abstraction from substrates (3)—(9) does not only occur at the acetal C-4 bond but also



at the alkoxy groups. Four ether radicals resulting from such hydrogen abstraction, e.g. reaction (11), have been

3) + OH^{$$:$$} \longrightarrow H₂O + CH₂-O(CH₃O)CH₂CH₂Cl (II)

identified e.s.r. spectrocopically (see Table 2). These radicals do not give rise to radical cations.

All radical cations, (1) and (10)—(15), react with OH^- to yield ester radicals, *e.g.* reaction (III), the e.s.r. spectra of which are well observed at pH values above the range given in Table 1.

(1) + OH⁻
$$\longrightarrow$$
 CH₃O-C-ĊH₂ + CH₃OH (III)

Attempts to observe the precursor radicals of the radical cations. Similar to the radical (2) the related radicals

CH₃O-ĊH-CH₂Cl⁹ and CH₃O-ĊH-CH₂-PO₄(CH₂CH₂-OCH₃)₂¹⁰ were not observable by e.s.r. spectroscopy in aqueous solution because they undergo fast hydrolysis. They have half-lives in water at 0° of ≤20 ns¹⁰ compared with ≥100 µs in acetone in which solvent they were well observable e.s.r. spectroscopically.^{10,11} These radicals dissociate in aqueous solution into an anion and a radical cation (which is very short lived ¹²) in close analogy to reaction (I). It was therefore attempted to observe by e.s.r. spectroscopy in acetone the precursor radicals of the radical cations of Table 1. However, they could not be

TABLE 1

E.s.r. parameters of dialkoxyalkene radical cations in aqueous solutions at 3 °C

Hyperfine splittings * (mT)
$$g$$
 factor pH range f
0 006 CH₃
(1) 0 310 CH₂ 2.003 05 1.-8.6
CH₃-0 2 055

(10)
$$0.284$$
 C C C 2.003 11 2-9.6
CH₃-0 CH₃
2.452

$$0.065$$
 CH₃
(11a) 0.345 CH₂ 2.003 05 2 -- 7
CH₃CH₂-- 0 2.06

0.073

~ . .

(11b)
$$0.316$$
 $... CH_2 CH_3$
 0.007
 $... CH_2 2.003.05 2....7$

$$\begin{array}{c} 0.061 \quad CH_2CH_3 \\ 0.007 \\ (12) \quad 0.336 \quad CH_2CH_2 \\ CH_2CH_2 \\ 0 \end{array} \qquad 2.003 \ 05 \qquad 1.4 \\ -6.3 \\ 0.336 \\$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \\ (13) \\ H_2 C \\ H_2 C \\ 0.245 \\ H_2 C \\ 0.258 \\ (14) \\ H_2 C \\ 0.258 \\ H_2 C \\ 0.258 \\ H_2 C \\ 0.258 \\ 0$$

$$\begin{array}{c} H_2C - \acute{O} & CH_3 \\ 0.220 & 2.511 \\ 0.337^* & H_2C - O \\ (15) & H_2C - \acute{O} & \dot{C}^* - \dot{C}H_2 \\ 0.0083 & H_2C - \acute{O} & 2.076 \\ 0.337^* & 0.0083 & \dot{H}_2C - \dot{O} & 2.076 \\ 0.337^* & 0.0083 & \dot{H}_2C - \dot{O} & 0.0083 & \dot$$

* The number of protons is shown in the formulae. † Range of actual observations; the upper value is a limit. ‡ Mean value of non-equivalent hydrogens.

detected and it is assumed that they are still shorter lived with respect to heterolytic dissociation than, e.g., the radical CH₃O-CH-CH₂Cl.

Conductivity Studies.—Introductory remarks. For the conductivity studies 2.8 MeV electron pulses of 1 ms duration were applied. The electron pulses produce the following species in aqueous solution: $H_2O \longrightarrow OH'$, H',

 e_{aq}^{-} , H_2O_2 , H^+ , and OH^- . In the presence of N_2O the solvated electrons, e_{aq}^{-} , are converted into OH^{\bullet} radicals according to equation (IV). Using N_2O -saturated aqueous

$$e_{aq}^{-} + N_2 O \longrightarrow OH' + OH^{-} + N_2$$
 (IV)

solutions OH radicals and hydrogen atoms are formed in the ratio of 9: 1 and with a total yield of 6.6 radicals per 100 eV of energy absorbed ¹³ (100 eV yield = G value). The above radicals abstract hydrogen atoms from added substrates. Since hydrogen abstraction by OH is very fast with ether-like substrates ($k \ge 10^9$ l mol⁻¹ s⁻¹), at concentrations of

of substrate-derived species in the μ s range the transient conductivity changes produced in water alone have to be taken into consideration. This is done by substracting the signals obtained in the absence of substrate from those obtained in the presence of substrate under otherwise identical experimental conditions. Conductivity curves corrected in this sense are presented below.

Results of conductivity studies. Using slightly acidic N_2O -saturated $10^{-2}M$ aqueous solutions of substrates (3)—(9) there was (after application of the above corrections) a conductivity change immediately after the pulse (see Figure

FIGURE 1 E.s.r. spectra of (a) the (Z, E)-1,1-dimethoxy-(Z)-prop-1-ene radical cation (10) and (b) the 2-ethylidene-1,3-dioxolan radical cation (14); centres indicated by triangles. Both radical cations exhibit large CH₃ splittings; note, *e.g.*, the well resolved second-order components. The size of the CH₃ couplings, 2.452 for (10) and 2.511 for (14), indicates that the unpaired spin resides mainly at the carbon atom next to the CH₃ group. Experimental conditions: photolytic flow system, aqueous solutions of pH 5 at 3 °C containing 0.3M-acetone, 0.02M-K₂S₂O₈, and 0.03M-substrate: (a) 1,1-dimethoxy-2-acetoxypropane (4); (b) 2-(1-bromoethyl)-1,3-dioxolan (8)

 10^{-2} M of such substrates the organic radicals are produced practically within the duration of the microsecond pulse. The behaviour of the substrate radicals is then followed by measuring the time dependent conductivity changes. Since H⁺ and OH⁻ are also produced by the radiolysis of water there is always a transient conductivity right after the pulse which disappears on combination of H⁺ and OH⁻ within 100 µs or shorter depending on pH and dose rate. In order to follow conductivity changes due to the chemical reactions 4a). There would have been no such conductivity change if only neutral radicals were formed. This conductivity is attributed to substrate ions produced during the 1 μ s pulse. The rate constant for the formation of the ions is therefore $k \ge 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The formation of the immediate conductivity is followed by a second-order build up of a final and comparatively larger conductivity on the ms time scale using the substrates (3)—(6), (8), and (9) (cf. e.g. Figure 4b). A buildup similar in size but of first order with a half-life of ca. 80





FIGURE 2 Upper figure: high field part of the e.s.r. spectrum of the (Z)-1-ethoxy-(E)-1-methoxyethylene radical cation (11a) and the (E)-1-ethoxy-(Z)-1-methoxyethylene radical cation (11b); centre indicated by a triangle. Second figure: expanded right hand section of the upper figure ($m_1 = +1$ multiplet of the CH₂ group). Below are shown parts of the computer simulated spectra of (11b and a) using line widths of 5 µT. From (11b) all splittings are resolved. In the spectrum of (11a) the non-resolved quartets of the ε' -splittings (ca. 0.003 mT, Table 1) was derived by fitting the computed to the experimental line shapes. Experimental conditions: photolytic flow system, aqueous solution of 0.04M-K₂S₂O₈ and 0.03M-2-chloro-1-ethoxy-1-methoxyethane (5) at 3 °C and pH 4. In this case the addition of acetone did not improve the signal to noise ratio

 μ s at 20 °C was observed using substrate (7) (see Figure 4c). These changes in conductivity are assigned to the replacement of moderately mobile radical cations by highly mobile protons. The equivalent conductances of the radical cations were determined to 55 \pm 3 cm² Ω^{-1} M⁻¹ at 20 °C; they are *ca*. 6 times smaller than those of H⁺ at 20 °C. The figures were derived by comparing the final conductivity changes with the immediate ones and using the known mobilities of H⁺ and the anions.

The first-order build up using substrate (7) is assigned to the reaction of the radical cation with water [reaction (V)]. The rate constant was determined to be $k_V = 7 \times 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 20 °C.

$$(13) + H_2O \longrightarrow \text{products} + H^+$$
 (V)

The second-order build up of conductivity is assigned to the bimolecular termination of the radical cations, R^{t+} . This may occur by dimerization or disproportionation of the radical cations with each other or with other radicals R^{t}_{x} [see *e.g.* reactions (VI)]. In these reactions cations or

$$\mathbf{R}^{*+} + \mathbf{R}^{*+} \longrightarrow {}^{+}\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{R}^{+}$$
(VIa)

$$\mathbf{R}^{+} + \mathbf{R}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2+} + \mathbf{R} \qquad (\text{VIb})$$

$$R'_x + R'' \longrightarrow RRR_x$$
 (VIc)

dications are formed which undergo fast reactions with water leading to the formation of protons [reactions (VII)]. The

$$^{+}R-R^{+} + 2 H_{2}O \longrightarrow HO-R-R-OH + 2 H^{+}$$
 (VIIa)

$$R^{2+} + 2 H_2O \longrightarrow R(OH)_2 + 2 H^+$$
 (VIIb)

$$^{+}R-R_{x} + H_{2}O \longrightarrow HO-R-R_{x} + H^{+}$$
 (VIIc)

first and second-order rate constants are listed in Table 3. Figure 4d shows the decay of radical cation (1) in alkaline solution due to the reaction of (1) with OH $[k_{(R^{*+}+ OH^{-})} = 4 \times 10^{9} \text{ l mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}, cf. \text{ reaction (III)}].$

Effects in the presence of oxygen. Using a mixture of N_2O and O_2 in a molar ratio of 4:1 instead of N_2O alone for the saturation of the aqueous solutions containing one of the acetals (3)--(9), there was again conductivity present right



FIGURE 3 E.s.r. spectrum of the 2-methylene-1,3-dioxan radical cation (15). The distance between the central line and the outer lines of each of the three groups represents the sum of the splittings of the two pseudo-axial and the two pseudo-equatorial δ' ring protons. Note the broadened lines from the conformative interconversions of the six-membered ring (see text). The nonbroadened lines exhibit a small triplet from the two ε' ring protons. Experimental conditions as in Figure 1, but with 0.1M-2-chloromethyl-1,3-dioxan (9) as substrate

TABLE 2

Hyperfine splittings (mT), number of protons in parentheses

Acetal	Radical	pH Range	$a_a{}^{ m H}$	a _β ^H	а _ү , н	Additional splittings	g Factor
(3)	сн ₂ о—сн (осн ₃)сн ₂ сі	2-12	1.77 (2) *		0.072 (1)	$\delta(2) \ 0.018 \ a^{C_1} \ 0.018$	2.003 15
(4)	сн ₂ о—сн (осн ₃)сн—сн ₃ І ос (о)сн ₃	2—11	1.78 (2) *		0.08 ‡ (1)	δ(1) 0.02 ‡ OAc(3) 0.01 ‡	2.0031 ‡
(6)	сн ₃ сно—сн (ос ₂ н ₅)сн ₂ сі	110	1.45 (1)	2.28 (3)	t	t	2.0031 ‡
(7) F		212	1.15 (1)	2.54 (1) 2.97 (1)	0.24 (1)	t	2.003 15

* Mean value from non-equivalent hydrogens. † Not resolved. ‡ Low accuracy due to multiple line overlap.

after the pulse. Thereafter a first-order rise of conductivity occurred within a few μs which was followed by a secondorder build up on the ms time scale (see Figure 4e). The initial dissociation of the precursor radicals according to or by analogy with reaction (1) is not inhibited by O₂, thus the dissociation is significantly faster than the addition of O₂ to



FIGURE 4 Conductivity changes observed after application of a 1 µs electron pulse (2.8 MeV) to aqueous solutions, at 20 + 2 °C, of 2×10^{-3} M-2-chloro-1,1-dimethoxyethane (3) or 2-chloromethyl-1,3-dioxolan (7); ordinates 10 mV div⁻¹ in (b) and 20 mV div⁻¹ in the other cases. (a) N₂O-Saturated solution of (3), pH 5, dose 6 Gy (0.6 krad); (b) second-order build up of conductivity, N₂O-saturated solution of (3), pH 5, dose 3 Gy (0.3 krad); (c) first-order build up of conductivity, N₂O-saturated solution of (3), pH 5, dose 3.1 Gy (0.3 krad); (d) decrease of conductivity, N₂O-saturated solution of (3), pH 9.3, dose 9.7 Gy (0.97 krad); (e) rise of conductivity, solution of (3), saturated with N₂O-O₂ 4 : 1, pH 5, dose 4.2 Gy (0.42 krad); (f) N₂O-saturated solution of 0.1M-propan-2-ol, pH 5, dose 5 Gy (0.5 krad). A transient signal is always observed even in the absence of acetal. It is due to the recombination of pulse produced H⁺ and OH⁻ plus some electrical disturbances, mainly during and up to 20 µs after the pulse. Signals of that order of magnitude were substracted from the original oscillograms (see text)

tivity the radical cations. The first-order rise of conductivity is

assigned to the pseudo-first-order addition of O_2 to the radical cations, $k_{(O_2 + \mathbb{R}^{*+})} > 10^8 \text{ l mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, by which reactions peroxyl radical cations are formed which subsequently react with water with liberation of protons [see reactions (VIII) and (IX)]. The second-order rise of con-

$$R^{*+} + O_2 \longrightarrow R^+ - OO^*$$
 (VIII)

$$R^+OO + H_2O \longrightarrow R(OH)-OO' + H^+$$
 (IX)

ductivity is assigned to the formation of organic acids in analogy to related processes which were observed with dimethoxymethane as substrate.¹⁴ The observed reactivity of the radical cations towards O_2 is remarkable in view of the

TABLE 3

Kinetic data for the decay reactions of 1,1-dialkoxyalkene radical cations in aqueous solution. Data from pulse conductometric measurements at 20 \pm 2 °C, accuracy \pm 20%

Radical		$k_{\rm nbs.}/{\rm s}^{-1}$
cation	$2k_{term}/l \ mol^{-1} \ s^{-1}$	$(R' + H_2O)$
(1)	$1.8 imes 10^9$	
(10)	$7 imes 10^8$	
(11)	$1.8 imes 10^9$	
(12)	$2.0 imes10^9$	
(13)		$7~ imes~10^3$
(14)	1.1×10^9	
(15)	$2.5 imes 10^9$	

non-reactivity of the anisole radical cation and related species 15 towards O_2 during radical life under pulse radiolytic conditions. The reactions are under further study.

Product studies. ⁶⁰Co- γ -irradiation of N₂O saturated aqueous solutions of the acetals used in this work were performed in order to determine by argentometric titration the halides liberated according to reaction (1) and by potentiometry the proton to be expected according to reaction (IX). The G values of acid formation thus obtained are compiled in Table 4 together with the G values of proton formation derived from the pulse conductivity studies. There is good agreement between the three sets of data. The G(H⁺) values divided by the G value of the initiator radicals [G(OH) + G(H) = 6.6] gives the fraction of hydrogen abstraction that occurs at the acetal C⁻H position (cf. Table 4).

TABLE 4

G values of H⁺ (column 2) and of halides, where applicable (column 3), as measured by potentiometric or argentometric titration, respectively, after ⁶⁰Co γ -irradiation of N₂O-saturated aqueous solutions, at 0 °C, of ca. 5 × 10⁻³M of the acetals used in this work and G(H⁺) values (column 4) calculated from final conductivity changes after pulse radiolysis, at 20 ± 2 °C and pH 4.5—5. Dose rate of ⁶⁰Co γ -radiolysis 1 400—1 600 Gy h⁻¹ or 140—160 krad h⁻¹, dose applied causing 1—3% conversion of acetals. Accuracy: column 2 and 3, ±5%; column 4, ± 20%. The fraction of hydrogen abstraction at the acetal C-H bond, is given in column 5 (cf. text)

	$G(\mathrm{H^{+}})$	G(halide)	G(H+) From		
Acotal	After	60Co γ-	pulse	f	
Acetai	Taur	orysis	conductivity	J	
(3)	2.5	2.5	2.5	0.38	
(4)	2.0		1.7	0.30	
(5)	1.8	1.8	1.4	0.27	
(6)	1.5	1.4	1.0	0.23	
(7)	5.5 *	5.5 *	4.3	0.65 *	
(8)	3.9	3.9	4.1	0.59	
(9)	2.9	3.1	2.8	0.44	
· · ·					

* G 4.3, after correction for a chain contribution which was derived from dose rate effects.

DISCUSSION

The Existence of 1,1-Dialkoxyalkene Radical Cations in Aqueous Solutions.—From the results presented above there is no doubt as to the existence of certain 1,1-dialkoxyalkene radical cations in aqueous solution. The completely resolved e.s.r. spectra give proof of halogenfree species containing an even number of hydrogen atoms, and the pulse conductivity measurements demonstrate the presence of charged species right after the pulse and the disappearance of these charged species producing a higher conducting species which is concluded to be a proton.

Conformation of the Radical Cations.-As to the conformation of the radical cation (1) the alternatives (1) and (la-d) were considered. With the exception of (1d) all the conformations are planar. Conformation (1a and b) are excluded because they require equal δ' couplings which are not found. Structure (1c) is excluded by analogy with the radical cation (10) (cf. Scheme and Table 1). Structure (10a) is expected to have an a^{CH_3} coupling of *ca*. 0.07 mT and cannot have an a^{CH_3} coupling as large as observed. The size of this coupling and that of the α splitting not only excludes structure (10a) but also indicates that in structure (10) around 80% of the spin density resides at the 2-position. Conformation (1d) is energetically disfavoured with respect to (1) by 8 kcal mol⁻¹ according to an INDO calculation performed by Noack and Olbrich.¹⁶ For energetic reasons structures (la and b) are also excluded by this calculation [structure (lc) was not considered therein]. The 1,1-dimethoxyethylene radical cation is then present in the Z_{E} -conformation (1). The strong a^{CH_2} coupling indicates that in (1) ca. 80% of the spin density is present at the 2-position, leading to a separation of charge and free spin. The charge is mainly concentrated at the $(CH_3O)_2C$ group. An analogous structure was assigned to the radical cation $[(CH_3)_2N]_2\dot{C}$ - $\dot{C}H_2$.¹⁷ The larger of the two δ' -splittings of (1) is assigned to the alkoxy group in the Z-conformation which follows from the size of the δ' -splittings of (13) and (14) (see Table 1). The INDO calculations lead to the same assignment and furthermore predict a small difference in the CH₂ couplings of (1) which was actually observed as small selective line broadening.⁸

The other open chain radical cations are apparently also present in the Z,E-conformation. The identification of the two isomeric 1-ethoxy-1-methoxyethylene radical cations (11a and b), clearly indicates that there is no fast interconversion of the two species and that rotational barriers exist around the O-C-O bonds. With (1) the couplings did not change at 50 °C and thus no equilibration was experimentally recognizable. From substrate (4) only one of two radical cations with a Z,E-conformation of the OCH₃ groups, viz. the (Z,E)-1,1-dimethoxy-(Z)-prop-1-ene radical cation (10) was obtained. The isomer with the (E)-prop-1-ene partial conformation is probably energetically disfavoured because of steric hindrance.

The structure of the 2-methylene-1,3-dioxolan radical cation (13) is highly symmetrical. There is a plane and



an axis of symmetry. From the e.s.r. spectrum of (13) (Table 1) it is concluded that the ring is either rigid or a fast conformational exchange occurs. The 2-methylene-1,3-dioxan radical cation (15) has an axis of symmetry. The e.s.r. spectrum (Figure 3) shows strong line width alternation from two sets of two equivalent protons. These are assigned to the pseudo-axial and pseudoequatorial pairs of protons in the 4- and 6-positions. Due to this alternation only the sum of their individual splittings was observable. Therefore the mean value of the splittings is indicated in Table 1. The situation is considered typical of 'intermediate' interconversion rates of six-membered ring radicals.¹⁸ On increasing the

temperature to 50 °C the broad lines sharpened and the pattern became nearly a quintet with a 1:4:6:4:1intensity ratio, indicating increased exchange rates. The 2-ethylidene-1,3-dioxolan radical cation (14) is asymmetric as shown by its e.s.r. spectrum (Figure 1b). The assignment of couplings to specific ring protons (Table 1), is preliminary; the structure needs further investigation. The large CH₃ coupling is indicative of the spin being localized mainly at position β to the CH₃ group.

Comparison with the Conformation of Related Cations.— There are analogies between the conformation of the radical cations (1), (12), and (13) and the corresponding cations (16)—(18). The cations $(16)^{19,20}$ and (17),²¹ like



the radical cations (1) and (12), are asymmetrical as judged from n.m.r. data, and anlogous Z, E-conformations were assigned to them. The cation (18) is assumed from n.m.r.²² and other data ²³ to be rigid and planar; ²³ planarity is also in accord with the spectroscopic data of the radical cation (13). It seems, therefore, that the driving force for the attainment of the conformations is the same in both series.

EXPERIMENTAL

2-Chloro-1,1-dimethoxyethane (3) and 2-chloro-1,1-diethoxyethane (6) were commercially available and were purified by fractionation in vacuo. 2-Chloromethyl-1,3dioxolan (7) and 2-chloromethyl-1,3-dioxan (9) were obtained by known procedures 24 from (3) by treatment with ethane-1,2-diol or propane-1,3-diol, respectively, and concentrated H_2SO_4 as catalyst, separation of methanol by distillation, neutralization of the catalyst by Na₂CO₃, and subsequent fractionation. 2-Chloro-1-methoxy-1-ethoxyethane (5) was obtained analogously, using ethanol instead of the diols, b.p. 31 °C at 4 mmHg. 2-(1-Bromoethyl)-1,3dioxolan (8) was prepared from 2-bromo-1,1-diethoxypropane (ICN) and ethane-1,2-diol, b.p. 56 °C at 5 mmHg. 2-Acetoxy-1,1-dimethoxypropane (4) was prepared by reaction of acetyl chloride with 1,1-dimethoxypropan-2-ol in ether in the presence of pyridine, separation of py-HCl, and work-up by distillation, b.p. 72 °C at 15 mmHg. 1,1dimethoxypropan-2-ol was prepared from 1,1-dimethoxypropan-2-one (Merck) by reduction with NaBH₄ in water at room temperature, b.p. 82 °C at 50 mmHg.

All the acetals were $\geq 99.7\%$ pure according to g.l.c. analysis. Their structure was confirmed by elemental analysis and n.m.r. spectroscopy.

Water was triply distilled. N₂O was freed from O₂ by passing it over a Chromosorb column (Messer-Griesheim, Duisburg). Other chemicals were of analytical grade.

E.s.r. spectra were measured under in situ photolysis conditions at the X-band with 100 kHz modulation using a flow technique at variable temperatures.²⁵ The standard flow rate of the aqueous solutions was 0.1 cm³ s⁻¹ for an $0.3 \times 8 \text{ mm}^2$ cross section of the cell. Considerably higher flow rates were occasionally used to eliminate problems arising from pH drift.

The absolute errors of the g factors and of the coupling constants are $+2 \times 10^{-5}$ and $+5 \ \mu T$ respectively. The measurements were performed with a n.m.r. sideband technique ²⁵ taking into account the field offset of the n.m.r. probe, that was attached to the side wall of the cavity, and the second-order corrections.26

The conductivity measurements in combination with pulse radiolysis,²⁷ the sample preparation for 60 Co γ radiolysis,27 and the analytical procedures 28 have been described.

Technical assistance by Miss E. Bastian, Mrs. G. Brinkmann, and Messrs. W. Frohn, H. Muhlert, and H. Niehaus is gratefully acknowledged.

[9/1395 Received, 3rd September, 1979]

REFERENCES

¹ C. Wurster and E. Schobig, Ber., 1879, 12, 1807.

² E. Weitz and K. Fischer, Z. Angew. Chem., 1925, 38, 1110. ³ Cf. A. J. Bard, A. Ledwith, and H. J. Shine, Adv. Phys. Org.

Chem., 1976, 13, 155. ⁴ P. O'Neill, S. Steenken, and D. Schulte-Frohlinde, J. Phys.

Chem., 1975, 79, 2773. K. Schested and J. Holcman, J. Phys. Chem., 1978, 82, 651.
 S. Hünig and H. C. Steinmetzer, Annalen, 1976, 1060, 1090.

 ⁷ R. M. Dessau, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 6356.
 ⁸ G. Behrens, E. Bothe, J. Eibenberger, G. Koltzenburg, and D. Schulte-Frohlinde, Angew. Chem., 1978, 90, 639; Angew. Chem. Internat. Edn., 1978, 17, 604.

⁹ B. C. Gilbert, J. P. Larkin, and R. O. C. Norman, *J.C.S. Perkin II*, 1972, 794.

¹⁰ G. Behrens, G. Koltzenburg, A. Ritter, and D. Schulte-Frohlinde, Internat. J. Radiat. Biol., 1978, **33**, 163.

¹¹ G. Behrens, G. Koltzenburg, and D. Schulte-Frohlinde, 2nd Internat. Symposium on Organic Free Radicals, Aix-en-

Provence, 1977; CNRS, Paris, 1978, p. 117. ¹² D. Schulte-Frohlinde, in 'Proceedings of the 6th International Congress of Radiation Research, ed. S. Okada et al.,

 Maruzen, Tokyo, 1979, pp. 407-422.
 ¹³ G. W. Klein and R. H. Schuler, *Radiat. Phys. Chem.*, 1978, 11, 167.

¹⁴ E. Bothe and D. Schulte-Frohlinde, to be published.

¹⁵ K. Sehested, J. Holcman, and E. J. Hart, J. Phys. Chem., 1977, **81**, 1363.

¹⁶ W. E. Noack and G. Olbrich, to be published.

17 J. M. Fritsch, H. Weingarten, and J. D. Wilson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 4038.

¹⁸ C. Gaze and B. C. Gilbert, J.C.S. Perkin 11, 1977, 754.

¹⁹ B. G. Ramsay and R. W. Taft, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1966, 88,

3058 ²⁰ C. H. V. Dusseau, S. E. Schaafsma, H. Steinberg, and T. J.

de Boer, Tetrahedron Letters, 1969, 467.

²¹ R. F. Borch, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 5303.
 ²² H. Hart and D. A. Tomalia, Tetrahedron Letters, 1966, 3383.

²³ H. Paulsen and R. Dammeyer, Chem. Ber., 1976, 109, 1837. 24 S. M. McElvain and M. J. Curry, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1948,

70, 3781. ²⁵ G. Behrens and D. Schulte-Frohlinde, Ber. Bunsengesell-

schaft Phys. Chem., 1976, 80, 429. ²⁶ R. W. Fessenden, J. Chem. Phys., 1962, 37, 747. ²⁷ H. G. Klever, Ph.D. Thesis, Ruhr-Universität, Bochum, 1974.

T. Matsushige, G. Koltzenburg, and D. Schulte-Frohlinde, Ber. Bunsengesellschaft Phys. Chem., 1975, 79, 657.